

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 23, 2001 LB 773

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'll wait until my next time. I'll stop.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Have I spoken all my times?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. I'd like to ask, Mr. President, members of the Legislature, a question of Senator Bromm.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm, will a reading for alcohol content be more valid based on what is found in a blood test, a urine test, or a breath test if each one is administered the same period of time after the arrest? I meant, are some of them more probative than others? That's the question I would ask in...

SENATOR BROMM: My understanding is that it's generally thought that the blood test would be the most accurate.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that's what I've heard too. Senator Bromm, why then in the committee amendment, since we're creating a new standard, do we treat urine, blood and breath the same when they, in fact, are not the same when it comes to measuring the content of alcohol in the blood?

SENATOR BROMM: Um...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I mean I know why we're doing it.

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah, it...it has...you know...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Should we do it?

SENATOR BROMM: Well, that's a...that's a good question. We did not purport to or attempt to change the standard with respect to